

**PROPOSED AMENDED RULE 2011 PROTOCOL-  
ATTACHMENT C**

---

**QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL  
PROCEDURES**

DRAFT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ATTACHMENT C - QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

A. Quality Control Program.....C-1  
B. Frequency of Testing.....C-2

DRAFT

---

**ATTACHMENT C****QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES****A. QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM**

Develop and implement a quality control program for the continuous emission monitoring systems and their components. As a minimum, include in each quality control program a written plan that describes in detail complete, step-by-step procedures and operations for each of the following activities:

**1. Calibration Error Test Procedures**

Identify calibration error test procedures specific to the CEMS that may require variance from the procedures used during certification (for example, how the gases are to be injected, adjustments of flow rates and pressures, introduction of reference values, length of time for injection of calibration gases, steps for obtaining calibration error, determination of interferences, and when calibration adjustments should be made).

**2. Calibration and Linearity Adjustments**

Explain how each component of the CEMS shall be adjusted to provide correct responses to calibration gases, reference values, and/or indications of interference both initially and after repairs or corrective action. Identify equations, conversion factors, assumed moisture content, and other factors affecting calibration of each CEMS.

**3. Preventative Maintenance**

Keep a written record of procedures, necessary to maintain the CEMS in proper operating condition and a schedule for those procedures.

**4. Audit Procedures**

Keep copies of written reports received from testing firms/laboratories of procedures and details specific to the installed CEMS that were to be used by the testing firms/laboratories for relative accuracy test audits, such as sampling and analysis methods. The testing firms/laboratories shall have received approval from the District by going through the District's laboratory approval program.

**5. Record Keeping Procedures**

Keep a written record describing procedures that shall be used to implement the record keeping and reporting requirements.

Specific provisions of Section A-3 and A-5 above of the quality control programs shall constitute specific guidelines for facility personnel. However, facilities shall be required to take reasonable steps to monitor and assure implementation of such specific guidelines. Such reasonable steps may include periodic audits, issuance of periodic reminders, implementing training classes, discipline of employees as necessary, and other appropriate measures. Steps that a facility commits to take to monitor and assure implementation of the specific guidelines shall be set forth in the written plan and shall be the only elements of Section A-3 and A-5 that constitute enforceable requirements under the written plan, unless other program provisions are independently enforceable pursuant to other requirements of the SO<sub>x</sub> protocols or District or federal rules or regulations.

## **B. FREQUENCY OF TESTING**

There are three situations which will result in an out-of-control period. These include failure of a calibration error test, failure of a relative accuracy test audit, and failure of a BIAS test, and are detailed in this subdivision. Data collected by a CEMS during an out-of-control period shall not be considered valid.

The frequency at which each quality assurance test must be given is as follows:

### **1. Periodic Assessments**

For each monitor or CEMS, perform the following assessments during each day in which the unit combusts any fuel or processes any material (hereafter referred to as a "unit operating day"), or for a monitor or a CEMS on a bypass stack/duct, during each day that emissions pass through the bypass stack or duct. These requirements are effective as of the date when the monitor or CEMS completes certification testing.

#### **a. Calibration Error Testing Requirements for Pollutant Concentration Monitors, Fuel Gas Sulfur Content Monitors, and O<sub>2</sub> Monitors**

Test, record, and compute the calibration error of each SO<sub>2</sub> pollutant concentration monitor, fuel gas sulfur content monitor, if applicable, and O<sub>2</sub> monitor at least once on each unit operating day, or for monitors or monitoring systems on bypass stacks/ducts on each day that emissions pass through the bypass stack or duct. Conduct calibration error checks, to the extent practicable, approximately 24 hours apart. Perform the daily calibration error test according to the procedure in Chapter 2, Subdivision B, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph a, Clause ii of this Attachment.

For units with more than one span range, perform the daily calibration error test on each scale that has been used since the last calibration error test. For example, if the emissions concentration or the fuel gas sulfur content has not exceeded the low-scale span range since the previous calendar day, the calibration error test may be performed on the low-scale only. If, however, the emissions concentration or the fuel gas sulfur content has exceeded the low-scale span range since the previous calibration error test, perform the calibration error test on both the low- and high-scales.

i. Design Requirements for Calibration Error Testing of SO<sub>x</sub> Concentration Monitors, the Fuel Gas Sulfur Content Monitors, and O<sub>2</sub> Monitors

Design and equip each SO<sub>x</sub> concentration monitor, fuel gas sulfur content monitor, and O<sub>2</sub> monitor with a calibration gas injection port that allows a check of the entire measurement system when calibration gases are introduced. For extractive and dilution type monitors, all monitoring components exposed to the sample gas, (for example, sample lines, filters, scrubbers, conditioners, and as much of the probe as practical) are included in the measurement system. For in situ type monitors, the calibration must check against the injected gas for the performance of all electronic and optical components (for example, transmitter, receiver, analyzer).

Design and equip each pollutant concentration monitor, fuel gas sulfur content and O<sub>2</sub> monitor to allow daily determinations of calibration error (positive or negative) at the zero-level (0 to 20 percent of each span range) and high-level (80 to 100 percent of each span range) concentrations.

ii. Calibration Error Test for SO<sub>x</sub> Concentration Monitors, Fuel Gas Sulfur Content Monitors, and O<sub>2</sub> Monitors

Measure the calibration error of each SO<sub>2</sub> concentration analyzer, fuel gas sulfur analyzer, and O<sub>2</sub> monitor once each day according to the following procedures:

If any manual or automatic adjustments to the monitor settings are made, conduct the calibration error test in a way that the magnitude of the adjustments can be determined and recorded.

Perform calibration error tests at two concentrations: (1) zero-level and (2) high level. Zero level is 0 to 20 percent of each span range, and high level is 80 to 100 percent of each span range. All calibration gases used during certification tests and quality assurance and quality control activities shall be NIST/EPA approved standard reference materials (SRM), certified reference materials (CRM), or shall be certified according to “EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards,” September 1997, EPA 600/R-97/121 or any subsequent version published by EPA.

Introduce the calibration gas at the gas injection port as specified above. Operate each monitor in its normal sampling mode. For extractive and dilution type monitors, pass the audit gas through all filters, scrubbers, conditioners, and other monitor components used during normal sampling and through as much of the sampling probe as practical. For in situ type monitors, perform calibration checking on all active electronic and optical components, including the transmitter, receiver, and analyzer. Challenge the SO<sub>x</sub> concentration monitors, the fuel gas sulfur content monitors, and the O<sub>2</sub> monitors once with each gas. Record the monitor response from the data acquisition and handling system. Use the following equation to determine the calibration error at each concentration once each day:

$$CE = \frac{|R - A|}{S} \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. C-1})$$

Where:

CE = Percentage calibration error based on the span range

R = Reference value of zero- or high-level calibration gas introduced into the monitoring system.

A = Actual monitoring system response to the calibration gas.

S = Span range of the instrument

b. Calibration Error Testing Requirements for Stack Flow Monitors

Test, compute, and record the calibration error of each stack flow monitor at least once within every 14 calendar day period during which at anytime emissions flow through the stack; or for monitors or monitoring systems on bypass stacks or ducts, at least once within every 14 calendar day period during which at anytime emissions flow through the bypass stack or duct. Introduce a zero reference value to the transducer or transmitter. Record flow monitor output from the data acquisition and handling systems before and after any adjustments. Calculate the calibration error using the following equation :

$$CE = \frac{|R - A|}{S} \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. C-2})$$

Where:

- CE = Percentage calibration error based on the span range
- R = Zero reference value introduced into the transducer or transmitter.
- A = Actual monitoring system response.
- S = Span range of the flow monitor.

c. Interference Check for Stack Flow Monitors

Perform the daily flow monitor interference checks specified in Chapter 2, Subdivision B, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph c of this Attachment at least once per operating day (when the unit(s) operate for any part of the day).

Design Requirements for Flow Monitor Interference Checks

Design and equip each flow monitor with a means to ensure that the moisture expected to occur at the monitoring location does not interfere with the proper functioning of the flow monitoring system. Design and equip each flow monitor with a means to detect, on at least a daily basis, pluggage of each sample line and sensing port, and malfunction of each resistance temperature detector (RTD), transceiver, or equivalent.

Design and equip each differential pressure flow monitor to provide (1) an automatic, periodic backpurging (simultaneously on both sides of the probe) or equivalent method of sufficient force and frequency to keep the probe and lines sufficiently free of

obstructions on at least a daily basis to prevent sensing interference, and (2) a means to detecting leaks in the system at least on a quarterly basis (a manual check is acceptable).

Design and equip each thermal flow monitor with a means to ensure on at least a daily basis that the probe remains sufficiently clean to prevent velocity sensing interference.

Design and equip each ultrasonic flow monitor with a means to ensure on at least a daily basis that the transceivers remain sufficiently clean (for example, backpurging the system) to prevent velocity sensing interference.

d. Recalibration

Adjust the calibration, at a minimum, whenever the calibration error exceeds the limits of the applicable performance specification for the SO<sub>x</sub> monitor, O<sub>2</sub> monitor or stack flow monitor to meet such specifications. Repeat the calibration error test procedure following the adjustment or repair to demonstrate that the corrective actions were effective. Document the adjustments made.

e. Out-of-Control Period – Calibration Test

An out-of-control period occurs when the calibration error of an SO<sub>2</sub> concentration monitor or a fuel gas sulfur content monitor exceeds 5.0 percent based upon the span range value, when the calibration error of an O<sub>2</sub> monitor exceeds 1.0 percent O<sub>2</sub>, or when the calibration error of a flow monitor exceeds 6.0 percent based upon the span range value, which is twice the applicable specification. The out-of-control period begins with the hour of completion of the failed calibration error test and ends with the hour of completion of following an effective recalibration. Whenever the failed calibration, corrective action, and effective recalibration occur within the same hour, the hour is not out-of-control if 2 or more valid readings are obtained during that hour as required by Chapter 2, Subdivision B, Paragraph 5, Subparagraph a.

An out-of-control period also occurs whenever interference of a flow monitor is identified. The out-of-control period begins with the hour of the failed interference check and ends with the hour of completion of an interference check that is passed.



f. Data Recording

Record and tabulate all calibration error test data according to the month, day, clock-hour, and magnitude in ppm, dscfh, and percent volume. Program monitors that automatically adjust data to the calibrated corrected calibration values (for example, microprocessor control) to record either: (1) the unadjusted concentration or flow rate measured in the calibration error test prior to resetting the calibration, or (2) the magnitude of any adjustment. Record the following applicable flow monitor interference check data: (1) sample line/sensing port pluggage, and (2) malfunction of each RTD, transceiver, or equivalent.

2. Semi-annual Assessments

a. For each CEMS, perform the following assessments once semi-annually thereafter, as specified below for the type of test. These semi-annual assessments shall be completed within six months of the end of the calendar quarter in which the CEMS was last tested for certification purposes (initial and recertification) or within three months of the end of the calendar quarter in which the District sent notice of a provisional approval for a CEMS, whichever is later. Thereafter, the semi-annual tests shall be completed within six months of the end of the calendar quarter in which the CEMS was last tested. For CEMS on bypass stacks/ducts, the assessments shall be performed once every two successive operating quarters in which the bypass stacks/ducts were operated. These tests shall be performed after the calendar quarter in which the CEMS was last tested as part of the CEMS certification, as specified below for the type of test.

Relative accuracy tests may be performed on an annual basis rather than on a semi-annual basis if the relative accuracies during the previous audit for the SO<sub>x</sub> pollutant concentration monitor, flow monitoring system, and SO<sub>x</sub> emission rate measurement system ~~are~~ are 7.5 percent or less.

b. For CEMS on any stack or duct through which no emissions have passed in two or more successive quarters, the semi-annual assessments must be performed within 14 unit operating days after emissions pass through the stack/duct.

c. The due date for a semi-annual or annual assessment of a major source may be postponed to within 14 unit operating days from the first re-firing of the major source if the major source is physically incapable of being operated and all of the following are met:

- i. All fuel feed lines to the major source are disconnected and either flanges or equivalent sealing devices are placed at both ends of the disconnected lines, and
- ii. The fuel meter(s) for the disconnected fuel feed lines are maintained and operated and associated fuel records showing no fuel flow are maintained on site.

For any hour that fuel flow records are not available to verify no fuel flow, SOx emissions shall be calculated using the maximum valid hourly emissions from the last 30 days of operation.

Prior to re-starting operation of the major source, the Facility Permit Holder shall: (1) provide written notification to the District no later than 72 hours prior to starting up the source, (2) start the CEMS no later than 24 hours prior to the start-up of the major source, and (3) conduct and pass a Cylinder Gas Analysis (CGA) prior to the start-up of the major source. The emissions data from the CEMS after the re-start of operations is considered valid only if the Facility Permit Holder passes the CGA test. Otherwise, for a non-passing CGA, the CEMS data is considered invalid until the semi-annual or annual assessment is performed and passed. As such, SOx emissions shall be calculated using the maximum valid hourly emissions from the last 30 days of operation commencing with the hour of start up and continuing through the hour prior to performing and passing the semi-annual or annual assessment.

- d. An electrical generating facility that either only operates under a California Independent System Operator (Cal ISO) contract or is owned and operated by a municipality may postpone the due date for a semi-annual or annual assessment of a major source to the next calendar quarter provided that the facility shows:
  - i. The semi-annual or annual assessment was scheduled to be performed during the first 45 days of the calendar quarter in which the assessment was due;
  - ii. The assessment was not completed due to lack of adequate operational time; and
  - iii. A CGA was conducted and passed within the calendar quarter when the assessment was due.

ea. Relative Accuracy Test Audit

Perform relative accuracy test audits and bias tests semi-annually and no less than 3 months apart for each SO<sub>2</sub> pollutant

concentration monitor, fuel gas sulfur content monitor, stack gas volumetric flow rate measurement systems, and the SO<sub>2</sub> mass emission rate measurement system in accordance with Chapter 2, Subdivision B, Paragraphs 10, 11, ~~and 12, and 13~~ and Attachment B of the Protocol for ~~Proposed~~ Rule 2011. The relative accuracy of the pollutant concentration monitor and the mass emission rate measurement system shall be less than or equal to 20.0 percent, and the relative accuracy of the stack gas volumetric flow rate measurement system shall be less than or equal to 15.0 percent. For monitors on bypass stacks/ducts, perform relative accuracy test audits once every two successive bypass operating quarters in accordance with Chapter 2, Subdivision B, Paragraphs 10, 11, ~~and 12, and 13~~ and Attachment B (bias test) of the ~~Draft~~ Protocol for ~~Proposed~~ Rule 2011.

**fb.** Out-of-Control Period – Relative Accuracy Test Audit

An out-of-control period occurs under any of the following conditions: (1) The relative accuracy of an SO<sub>2</sub> pollutant concentration monitor, a fuel gas sulfur content monitor, or the SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate measurement system exceeds 20.0 percent; (2) the relative accuracy of the flow rate monitor exceeds 15.0 percent; or (3) failure to conduct a relative accuracy test audit by the due date for a semi-annual assessment. The out-of-control period begins with the ~~hour~~ of completion of the failed relative accuracy test audit and ends with the hour of completion of a satisfactory relative accuracy test audit.

**ge.** Out-of-Control Period – BIAS Test

An out-of-control period occurs if all the following conditions are met:

- i. Failure of a bias test as specified in Attachment B of this Appendix;
- ii. The CEMS is biased low relative to the reference method (i.e. Bias Adjustment Factor (BAF), as determined in Attachment B of this Appendix, is greater than 1); and
- iii. The Facility Permit holder does not apply the BAF to the CEMS data.

The out-of-control period begins with the hour of completion of the failed bias test audit and ends with the hour of completion of a satisfactory bias test.

hd. Alternative Relative Accuracy Test Audit

i. The Facility Permit holder of a major source, that has received written approval from the Executive Officer as an intermittently operated source, may postpone the due date for a semi-annual assessment to the end of the next calendar quarter if the Facility Permit holder:

I. operated the source no more than 240 cumulative operating hours and no more than 72 consecutive hours during the calendar quarter when a semi-annual assessment is due; and

II. conducted a relative accuracy test audit on the CEMS serving the source during the previous four calendar quarters and meeting the accuracy criteria as set forth under Subparagraph B.2.ca.; and

III. conducted an alterative relative accuracy test audit on the CEMS serving the source during the calendar quarter when a semi-annual assessment is due and meeting the criteria specified under Clause B.2.hd.iii.

If any of the requirements under Subclauses B.2.hd.i.I, II and III is not met and the source did not have passing RATA during the calendar quarter when the semi-annual assessment is due, emissions from the source shall be determined pursuant to the Missing Data Procedures as specified under Rule 2011, Appendix A, Chapter 2, Subdivision E after the semi-annual assessment due date until the hour of completion of a satisfactory relative accuracy test audit.

ii. The Facility Permit holder may submit a written request to designate a major source as an intermittently operated source provided the Facility Permit holder demonstrates that:

I. During any calendar quarter within the previous two compliance years, the source was operated no more than 240 cumulative operating hours and no more than 72 consecutive hours ; or

II. During any calendar quarter within the next two compliance years, the source will be operated no more than 240 cumulative operating hours and no more than 72 consecutive hours.

- iii. An alternative relative accuracy shall consist of a Cylinder Gas Analysis (CGA) method as defined under 40 CFR, Part 60, Appendix F, combined with a flow accuracy verification. For sources equipped with stack flow monitors, the flow accuracy shall be verified by calibrating the transducers and transmitters installed on the stack flow monitors using procedures under Paragraph B.3 of this attachment. For sources equipped with fuel flow meters and no stack flow monitors, the flow accuracy shall be verified by calibrating the fuel flow meters either in-line or offline in accordance with the procedures outlined in 40CFR Part 75, Appendix D. Passing flow accuracy verification results that were obtained within the past 4 quarters may be used in lieu of performing a flow accuracy verification during the calendar quarter when a semi-annual assessment is due. The calculated accuracy for the analyzer responses for NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> concentration shall be within 15 percent or 1 ppm, whichever is greater, as determined by the CGA method as defined under 40 CFR, Part 60, Appendix F. Successive alternative relative accuracy test audits shall be performed no less than 45 days apart.

### **3. Calibration of Transducers and Transmitters on Stack Flow Monitors**

All transducers and transmitters installed on stack flow monitors must be calibrated every two operating calendar quarters, in which an operating calendar quarter is any calendar quarter during which at anytime emissions flow through the stack. Calibration must be done in accordance with Executive Officer approved calibration procedures that employ materials and equipment that are NIST traceable.

When a calibration produces for a transducer and transmitter a percentage accuracy of greater than  $\pm 1\%$ , the Facility Permit holder shall calibrate the transducer and transmitter every calendar operating quarter until a subsequent calibration which shows a percentage accuracy of less than  $\pm 1\%$  is achieved. An out-of-control period occurs when the percentage accuracy exceeds  $\pm 2\%$ . If an out-of-control period occurs, the Facility Permit holder shall take corrective measures to obtain a percentage accuracy of less than  $\pm 2\%$  prior to performing the next RATA. The out-of-control period begins with the hour of completion of the failed calibration error test and ends with the hour of completion of following an effective recalibration. Whenever the failed calibration, corrective action, and effective recalibration occur within the same hour, the hour is not out-of-control if two or more valid data readings are obtained during that hour as required by Chapter 2, Subdivision B, Paragraph 5, Subparagraph a.