NOx RECLAIM WORKING GROUP MEETING

NOVEMBER 8, 2018 SCAQMD DIAMOND BAR, CA

Agenda

- Landing Rule Updates
 - PAR 1146 Series/PR 1100
 - PR 1118.1
 - PR 1109.1
 - PAR 1134
 - PAR 1135
 - PAR 1110.2
- New Source Review
 - Overview of Key Issues
 - Discussion of Key Issue #1 Offsetting obligations post-RECLAIM

LANDING RULE UPDATES

Command-and-Control BARCT Rulemaking Status

PAR 1146, 1146.1 and 1146.2



Boilers, steam generators, and heaters

- 7th Working Group Meeting: October 16, 2018
- Set Hearing: November 2018
- Public Hearing: December 2018

PR 1118.1



Non-Refinery Flares

- Public Workshop: October 17, 2018
- Set Hearing: November 2018
- Public Hearing: December 2018

PAR 1134



Gas Turbines

- Public Workshop: December 2018 (tentative)
- Public Hearing: April 2019

PAR 1135



Electrical Generating Facilities

- Public Hearing: November 2, 2018
- Amendments approved by the Board

Command-and-Control BARCT Rulemaking Status

PR 1109.1



Refineries

- Draft Request for Proposal for third party verification of BARCT analysis:
- Administrative Committee: November 9, 2018
- Governing Board Approval Release December 7, 2018
- Continuing site visits
- Public Hearing: 2019

PAR 1110.2



Gaseous- and Liquid-Fueled Engines

- Initiated site visit, more to be scheduled
- Sent survey questionnaire
- Public Workshop: 1st Quarter 2018
- Public Hearing: 3rd Quarter 2019

PR 113



Monitoring, Reporting, and Recordkeeping

- Integrated MRR requirements for:
- Former RECLAIM
- Possibly non-RECLAIM
- Initiated preliminary analysis
- Comparing District and Federal requirements
- Conducted five site visits

UPDATE ON NEW SOURCE REVIEW

Update on New Source Review

- Guiding Principles
- Key Terms
- Three Key RECLAIM NSR Issues
- Presenting framework for Key Issue #1
 - Providing additional details and policy questions
 - No recommendations possible options
 - Continuing discussions with EPA no decisions yet

Fundamental Guiding Principles for NSR Resolution

- For the RECLAIM transition, any changes or revisions to NSR requirements in RECLAIM and/or Regulation XIII must comply with State and Federal requirements
- Statutes for Prevention of Backsliding
 - Federal Clean Air Act
 - California State Health and Safety Code

Federal Clean Air Act

- Section 110 (I) states:
 - "...The Administrator shall not approve a revision of a plan if the revision would interfere with any applicable requirement concerning attainment and reasonable further progress...or any other applicable requirement of this chapter."

California State Senate Bill 288 "Protect California Act of 2003"

- This law was developed in response to concerns regarding changes to Federal NSR and places restrictions on backsliding for California air districts
- Provisions contained in Health and Safety Code Section 42504 –
 Amending and Revising New Source Review Rules
 - "No air quality management district or air pollution control district may amend or revise its new source review rules or regulations to be less stringent than those that existed on December 30, 2002."
- CARB reviews SCAQMD rules to ensure no backsliding under State law

Comparison Between RECLAIM and Command and Control – PTE, Allowable, and Actual Emissions

RECLAIM

Command and Control

PTE

 Conditions in the permit – for example hours of operation and/or NOx or other criteria pollutant emission rate limits

Allowable Emissions

NOx RTC allocation (Mass emissions)

Actual Emissions

Actual NOx mass emissions

PTE

 Conditions in the permit – for example hours of operation and/or NOx or other criteria pollutant emission rate limits

Allowable Emissions

 Command-and-control rule establishes NOx emission rate limit

Actual Emissions

Actual NOx mass emissions

Summary of Three Key NSR Issues

For new sources
that are permitted
in RECLAIM,
what are the
offset obligations
as facilities
transition out of
RECLAIM?

For permits issued without a NOx PTE, whe NOx PTE, when baseline be established to established to determine if an NSR event occurs after facilities transition out of RECLAIM?

How will the SCAQMD ensure that sufficient offsets are available to satisfy NSR requirements

Areas of General Agreement for Key Issue #1

- New and modified sources in RECLAIM must comply with Rule 2005 – New Source Review for RECLAIM
- New and modified sources outside of RECLAIM must comply with Regulation XIII – New Source Review
- The transition of a facility from RECLAIM to command-and-control is not a NSR event

Framing the Issue

- Rule 2005 has specific holding requirements for offsetting for new or modified sources that were permitted during RECLAIM
- Rule 2005 (f)(2) allows RECLAIM facilities to sell unused RTCs during the reconciliation period for the fourth quarter of the applicable compliance year
- RECLAIM complies with federal offset ratio by demonstrating a programmatic offset ratio of 1.2-to-1 each year
- Unclear what is required for new and modified sources permitted during RECLAIM as they transition out of RECLAIM to ensure compliance with SB 288 which requires no backsliding of New Source Review programs approved before 2002

Framing the Issue (Continued)

- When RECLAIM ends, offsetting emissions from new and modified sources that were permitted during RECLAIM needs to continue
 - Question 1: As facilities transition out of RECLAIM, should there be requirements to demonstrate that future holding requirements under Rule 2005 are continued?
 - Question 2: As facilities transition out of RECLAIM, should there be an ongoing demonstration for new and modified sources that were permitted during RECLAIM?
 - Question 3: Should current federal offsetting be demonstrated by individual facilities or programmatically?

Key Rule 2005 Requirements

- Rule 2005 establishes New Source Review requirements for RECLAIM facilities or sources that are new, modified, or increase their initial allocation
- Key RECLAIM NSR requirements
 - Must meet BACT
 - No significant increase in NO2
 - No BACT discounting of RTCs for shutdowns
 - Trading zone restrictions
 - RTC holding and offset requirements (next slide)

Rule 2005 RTC Holding and Offsetting Requirements for Existing Facilities with New Sources and New Facilities

Existing Facilitieswith New Sources

Holding Requirement

Prior to start of operation for the first year, facility must hold RTCs to offset the annual increase in potential emissions

RECLAIM Obligation

Must provide RTCs to cover <u>actual</u> <u>emissions each compliance year</u>

NSR Offset Ratio

Programmatic demonstration showing 1.2 to 1

New Facilities

Holding Requirement

Prior to start of operation for the first year and every year thereafter, facility must hold RTCs to offset the annual increase in potential emissions

RECLAIM Obligation

Must provide RTCs to cover <u>actual</u> <u>emissions each compliance year</u>

NSR Offset Ratio

Programmatic demonstration showing 1.2 to 1

Rule 2005 Offset Ratio Requirements

- RECLAIM complies with the federal 1.2-to-1 offset requirement for NOx on an programmatic basis
 - Each year an annual program audit report is provided to assess NSR and verify programmatic compliance
 - All unused allocations are available to satisfy the 1.2-to-1 offset ratio

Key Regulation XIII Requirements

- Must meet BACT (same as RECLAIM)
- No significant increase in NO₂ (same as RECLAIM)
- BACT discounting (no discounting in RECLAIM)
- Trading zone restrictions (same as RECLAIM)
- Offsetting requirement
 - Provide offsets at time of permitting
 - Offsets provided to the Potential to Emit
 - Offsets are in perpetuity
 - Offset ratio requirements ratio of 1.2 to 1

Offsetting Requirements Between Rule 2005 and Regulation XIII

Requirement	Rule 2005	Regulation XIII
Amount of offsets required	Holding requirement to potential to emit each year for new facilities, and for first year for existing facilities with new or modified sources	Potential to emit of new or modified source
When are offsets required	Only holding requirement (See above)	At time of permitting, in perpetuity
Offset ratio	Programmatic: 1.2-to-1	Facility: 1.2-to-1

Initial Response to Question 1

- Question 1: As facilities transition out of RECLAIM, should there be requirements to demonstrate that future holding requirements under Rule 2005 are continued?
- Initial Response: Yes, only for facilities that were permitted during RECLAIM
 - Pursuant to Rule 2005 (f)(1) and (b)(2), <u>new facilities</u> that entered RECLAIM are required to hold RTCs to offset the total facility emissions at the commencement of <u>each compliance year</u>
 - To ensure compliance state law (SB 288), some demonstration of future holding requirements for new facilities that entered into RECLAIM is needed
 - Demonstration can be done programmatically

Initial Response to Question 1 (Continued)

- Initial Response: (Continued)
 - Pursuant to Rule 2005 (f)(1) and (c)(4)(B), existing facilities with new or modified sources permitted during RECLAIM are only required to hold RTCs to offset facility total emissions at the commencement of the first compliance year
 - Existing facilities with new or modified sources permitted during RECLAIM do not have an ongoing commitment
 - Compliance with state law (SB 288) demonstration of initial holding requirements for existing facilities with new or modified sources permitted during RECLAIM have been satisfied and no further demonstration is needed

Initial Response to Question 2

- Question 2: As facilities transition out of RECLAIM, should there be an ongoing demonstration for new and modified sources that were permitted during RECLAIM?
 - Initial Response: No, because RECLAIM did not have an ongoing offsetting requirement for these facilities
 - Rule 2005 has a holding offsetting requirement for new facilities and existing facilities with new or modified sources permitted during RECLAIM
 - Rule 2004 requires that a RECLAIM facility holds sufficient RTCs equal to or greater than their annual emissions (actual)

Initial Response to Question 3

- Question 3: Should current federal offsetting be demonstrated by individual facilities or programmatically?
 - Initial Response: Future offsetting should be demonstrated programmatically
 - Requiring individual facilities to provide RTCs annually will require facilities to secure RTCs after all facilities transition out of RECLAIM – Keeps the RTC market going for NSR purposes
 - A programmatic demonstration showing that RTC allocations post RECLAIM are sufficient to cover actual emissions from new and modified sources permitted under Rule 2005

Update of Key Issue #2 - Establishing Baselines

For permits issued without a NOx PTE, when and how will a baseline be established to determine if an NSR event occurs after facilities transition out of RECLAIM?

- Discussed permitting concept in April 2018
 Working Group Meeting
 - General recommendation is to retain existing NSR limits/conditions until next NSR triggering event
 - Follow methodology to establish baseline based on Rule 2005
- Further discussion at next meeting
 - What methodology will be used to establish a baseline to determine if a permitting action results in an emission increase occurs?
 - When will permits be evaluated to incorporate a NOx PTE?

Update of Key Issue #3 - Ensuring Sufficient Offsets

How will the SCAQMD ensure that sufficient offsets are available to satisfy NSR requirements

- Discussed at October 2017 and April 2018 Working Group Meetings
 - Discussed concerns for availability of offsets in the open market
 - Discussed possible options for offsets
- Further discussion in subsequent meeting(s)
 - Identify potential sources of offsets after facilities transition out of RECLAIM?

NSR – Process Moving Forward

Continuing discussions with USEPA regarding RECLAIM NSR transition

Ensure all NSR, AQMP, and CAA requirements will be meet after sunset of RECLAIM program

Weekly calls with EPA

Periodic face-to-face meetings for more extensive discussions

Work with RECLAIM Working Group Meeting

Monthly RECLAIM Working Group Meetings will shift focus towards NSR

Continued discussions with stakeholders

Updates to Stationary Source Committee (SSC)

Quarterly presentation with quarterly RECLAIM update

Monthly written report

General RECLAIM Questions

- Gary Quinn, P.E.Program Supervisor909-396-3121gquinn@aqmd.gov
- Kevin Orellana
 Program Supervisor
 909-396-3492
 korellana@aqmd.gov

Proposed Amended Rules 1146, 1146.1, 1146.2 and Proposed Rule 1100

- Gary Quinn, P.E.Program Supervisor909-396-3121gquinn@aqmd.gov
- Kalam Cheung, Ph.D.
 Program Supervisor
 909-396-3281
 kcheung@aqmd.gov

- Lizabeth GomezAir Quality Specialist909-396-3103Igomez@aqmd.gov
- Shawn WangAir Quality Specialist909-396-3319swang@aqmd.gov

Proposed Rule 1109.1

- Heather FarrProgram Supervisor909-396-3672hfarr@aqmd.gov
- Jong Hoon LeeAir Quality Specialist909-396-3903jhlee@aqmd.gov

• Sarady Ka
Air Quality Specialist
909-396-233 I
ska@aqmd.gov

Proposed Rule 1118.1

- Heather FarrProgram Supervisor909-396-3672hfarr@aqmd.gov
- •Steve Tsumura
 Air Quality Specialist
 909-396-2549
 stsumura@aqmd.gov

Proposed Amended Rule 1135

- Michael Morris
 Planning and Rules Manager
 909-396-3282
 mmorris@aqmd.gov
- Uyen-Uyen VoAir Quality Specialist909-396-2238uvo@aqmd.gov

Proposed Amended Rule 1134

- Michael Morris
 Planning and Rules Manager
 909-396-3282
 mmorris@aqmd.gov
- Uyen-Uyen Vo
 Air Quality Specialist
 909-396-2238
 uvo@aqmd.gov

Proposed Amended Rule 1110.2

- Kevin Orellana
 Program Supervisor
 909-396-3492
 korellana@aqmd.gov
- Rudy Chacon
 Air Quality Specialist
 909-396-2726
 rchacon@aqmd.gov

New Source Review

- Kevin Orellana
 Program Supervisor
 909-396-3492
 korellana@aqmd.gov
- Lizabeth GomezAir Quality Specialist909-396-3103Igomez@aqmd.gov

Melissa GamoningAssistant Air Quality Specialist909-396-3115mgamoning@aqmd.gov