Field Evaluation Met One E-Sampler



Background

- From 7/14/2017 to 9/15/2017, two Met One E-Samplers were deployed in Rubidoux and were run side-by-side SCAQMD Federal Reference Method (FRM) instruments measuring the same pollutants
- Met One E-Sampler (2 units tested):
 - Particle sensor (optical; non-FEM)
 - Each unit measures PM_{2.5} (µg/m³), ambient air temperature (degree C), relative humidity (%), wind speed (m/s), and wind direction
 - ➤ Unit cost: ~\$5,500
 - ➤ Time resolution: 5-min
 - ➤ Units IDs:
 - W12
 - P22



- <u>Met One BAM (reference method)</u>:
 - ➢ Beta-attenuation monitors (FEM PM_{2.5})
 - Measures PM_{2.5} (µg/m₃)
 - ➤ Unit cost: ~\$20,000
 - Time-resolution: 1-hr



Data validation & recovery

- Basic QA/QC procedures were used to validate the collected data (i.e. obvious outliers, negative values, and invalid data-points were eliminated from the data-set)
- Data recovery was near 100% for both units tested

Met One E-sampler; intra-model variability

• Relatively high measurement variation was observed between the two E-Samplers tested



Met One E-Sampler vs FEM BAM (PM_{2.5} Mass; 1-hr mean)



- Met One E-Sampler PM_{2.5} mass measurements show moderate correlations with the corresponding FEM BAM data (0.55 < R² < 0.62).
- The two sensor units tested seem to track well the diurnal PM_{2.5} variations recorded by the FEM BAM instrument.
- Met One E-Samplers seem to underestimate the FEM measurement data.



Met One E-Sampler vs FEM BAM (PM_{2.5} Mass; 24-hr mean)



- Met One E-Sampler PM_{2.5} mass measurements correlate moderately with the corresponding FEM BAM data (0.55 < R² < 0.61).
- The two sensor units tracked well the day-to-day PM_{2.5} variations recorded by the FEM BAM instrument.
- Met One E-Samplers seem to underestimate the FEM measurement data.



Discussion

- Overall, Met One E-Samplers were reliable with high data recovery (~100%)
- The two units tested showed relatively high intra-model variability for $\mathrm{PM}_{\mathrm{2.5}}$ mass concentration
- The Met One E-Samplers demonstrated moderate correlations (R² > 0.55) with the FEM instrument, while underestimated the FEM (BAM) measurement data
- It should be noted that no sensor calibration had been performed by SCAQMD Staff prior to the beginning of this field testing
- Laboratory chamber testing may be necessary to fully evaluate the performance of these sensors over different / more extreme environmental conditions
- <u>All results are still preliminary</u>