Petitioner is a body corporate and politic established and existing pursuant to Health and Safety Code §40000, et seq. and §40400, et seq., and is the sole and exclusive local agency with the responsibility for comprehensive air pollution control in the South Coast Basin.

28

27

6

4

11

9

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28

- 2. Respondent THERMAL SOLUTIONS MANUFACTURING, INC. ("THERMAL SOLUTIONS" or "Respondent") operates THERMAL SOLUTIONS, a Facility subject to the jurisdiction of the District. THERMAL SOLUTIONS manufactures radiator cores at its San Bernardino facility, located at 1390 S. Tippecanoe Ave., Suite B San Bernardino, CA 92408. Its Facility ID Number is 172808.
- Respondent manufactures radiator cores, which are built by hand, go through a flux 3. bath and a heat-treating furnace (oven), and then are finished in the lead pot furnace (PO G26164) ("Lead Pot").
- 4. District Rule 1420(f)(1) requires any operator of a metal melting facility or lead processing facility that processes lead-containing materials meet an outlet mass lead emission rate of less than 0.0003 pounds per hour or reduce lead emissions by a minimum of 99%.
- 5. Respondent conducted a source test in early March 2024 to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of Rule 1420. Preliminary source test results suggest that the Lead Pot is emitting lead at an average rate of approximately 0.00051 lb/hr, which is above the emission standard, and the device is currently not equipped with an add-on control device.
- Based on the results of the last test in 2019, no add-on controls were required, the 6. Lead Pot emissions met the standard required by Rule 1420, and the Facility met the eligibility requirements to extend the source testing frequency to 48 months.
- 7. Based on the preliminary source test results, continued operation of the Lead Pot by Respondent is a violation of Rule 1420 until Respondent can demonstrate compliance with the lead emission limits.
- 8. The District, by this petition, seeks an Order for Abatement to require Respondent to cease violating District rules, or comply with such other relief as this Hearing Board deems appropriate.
- 9. The issuance of an Order for Abatement upon a fully noticed hearing would not constitute a taking of property without due process of law.
  - This Order for Abatement is not intended to be, nor does it act as a variance. 10.